

# COMPLIANCE REVIEW REPORT CALIFORNIA STATE AUDITOR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS APRIL 1, 2014

#### Examinations

During the period under review, from May 1, 2011 to October 31, 2012, the California State Auditor (CSA) conducted 26 examinations including 2 Career Executive Assignment (CEA) examinations. The SPB reviewed 8 of the civil service examinations and both of the CEA examinations, which are listed below:

|                                   | Examination  | Examination Components                |  |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Classification                    | Туре         |                                       |  |
| Auditor Evaluator I, Bureau of    | Open         | Written                               |  |
| State Audits (BSA)                |              |                                       |  |
| Auditor Evaluator II, BSA         | Promotional  | Education and Experience <sup>1</sup> |  |
| Auditor Specialist II             | Promotional  | Education and Experience              |  |
| Principal Auditor, BSA            | Promotional  | Education and Experience              |  |
| Senior Auditor Evaluator I, BSA   | Promotional  | Education and Experience              |  |
| Senior Auditor Evaluator II, BSA  | Promotional  | Education and Experience              |  |
| Senior Auditor Evaluator III, BSA | Promotional  | Education and Experience              |  |
| Senior Personnel Specialist       | Promotional  | Education and Experience              |  |
| CEA IV, Deputy State Auditor      | Supplemental | Application/Statement of              |  |
|                                   |              | Qualifications (SOQ) <sup>2</sup>     |  |
| CEA IV, Chief Legal Counsel       | Supplemental | Application/SOQ                       |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In an Education and Experience (E&E) examination, one or more raters reviews the applicants' Standard 678 application forms, and scores and ranks them according to a predetermined rating scale that may include years of relevant higher education, professional licenses or certifications, and/or years of relevant work experience.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In a Statement of Qualifications (SOQ) examination, applicants submit a written summary of their qualifications and experience related to a published list of desired qualifications. Raters, who are typically subject matter experts, evaluate the summaries according to a predetermined rating scale designed to assess an applicant's ability to perform the duties of the job classification for which he/she is testing. The raters also assign scores and rank the applicants on a list.



# FINDING NO. 1 - The CSA Did Not Develop a Job Analysis for Seven of the Civil Service Examinations That Were Reviewed

The Merit Selection Manual (MSM), which is incorporated in California Code of Regulations, title 2, section 50, mandates the development and use of a job analysis for the examination process. A "[i]ob analysis shall serve as the primary basis for demonstrating and documenting the job-relatedness of examination processes conducted for the establishment of eligible lists within the State's civil service." (MSM (Oct. 2003), § 2200, p. 2.) The MSM requires that job analyses adhere to the legal and professional standards outlined in the job analysis section of the MSM, and that certain elements must be included in the job analysis studies. (Ibid.) Those requirements include the following: (1) that the job analysis be performed for the job for which the subsequent selection procedure is developed and used; (2) the methodology utilized be described and documented; (3) the job analytic data be collected from a variety of current sources; (4) job tasks be specified in terms of importance or criticality, and their frequency of performance; (5) and job tasks must be sufficiently detailed to derive the requisite knowledge, skills, abilities (KSAs), and personal characteristics that are required to perform the essential tasks and functions of the job classification. (MSM, § 2200, pp. 2-3.)

While a job analysis was not required for the CEA examination that CSA administered, a job analysis was required for each of the civil service examinations. CSA provided a job analysis that properly evaluated the job duties for the Auditor Evaluator I ,BSA classification. In addition; CSA did not develop job analyses for the remaining civil service examinations that were reviewed. Without copies of the job analyses to review, the SPB is unable to determine if the civil service examinations were administered utilizing job-related examination procedures as required by the MSM.

| Classification                     | List Active Date | List<br>Expiration<br>Date | No. of<br>Eligibles |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Auditor Evaluator II,<br>BSA       | Continuous       |                            | 10                  |
| Auditor Specialist II              | 4/26/2012        | 4/25/2013                  | 2                   |
| Principal Auditor, BSA             | 6/15/2012        | 6/14/2013                  | 5                   |
| Senior Auditor<br>Evaluator I, BSA | Continuous       |                            | 13                  |



| Senior Auditor<br>Evaluator II, BSA  | Continuous |          | 4 |
|--------------------------------------|------------|----------|---|
| Senior Auditor<br>Evaluator III, BSA | Continuous |          | 4 |
| Senior Personnel<br>Specialist       | 5/7/2012   | 5/6/2013 | 1 |

To correct this deficiency, CSA must abolish the examination lists that have not expired for the following classifications:

- (1) Auditor Evaluator II, BSA;
- (2) Senior Auditor Evaluator I, BSA;
- (3) Senior Auditor Evaluator II, BSA;
- (4) Senior Auditor Evaluator III, BSA

Within 60 days of the Board's Resolution adopting these findings and recommendations, CSA must submit to the SPB a written report of compliance verifying that the abovestated examination lists have been abolished. Copies of any relevant documents should be included with the report. Prior to CSA administering any future examinations, CSA must create and develop each examination based upon a job analysis that meets the requirements of the MSM.

Furthermore, the Compliance Review Division (CRD) finds the appointments that were made from the examinations that were administered without a Job Analysis were made in good faith, are over a year old and did not merit being voided.

It is thus also recommended that within 60 days of the Board's Resolution adopting these findings and recommendations, the CSA submit to the SPB a written corrective action plan describing what steps will be taken to develop job analyses for any new examinations that CSA conducts in the future.

### DEPARTMENTAL RESPONSE

CSA was provided a copy of the initial report to review. A copy of CSA's response is attached as Attachment 1.



## SPB REPLY

Based upon CSA's written response, CSA will complete job analyses for future examinations.

It is recommended that CSA comply with the afore-stated recommendation within 60 days of the Board's resolution and submit to the SPB a written report of compliance that includes abolishing the Auditor Evaluator II, BSA, Senior Auditor Evaluator I (BSA), Senior Auditor Evaluator II (BSA), and Senior Auditor Evaluator III (BSA) certification lists that were conducted without job analyses. Furthermore, although the Auditor Specialist I (Information Systems) examination was not conducted during the review period, CSA will abolish the certification list as well-being it was also conducted without a job analyses.

The SPB appreciates the professionalism and cooperation of CSA during this compliance review.

pord 3/g/121 xA



Elaine M. Howle State Auditor Doug Cordiner Chief Deputy

March 6, 2014

Suzanne Ambrose, Executive Director State Personnel Board 801 Capitol Mall Sacramento, California 95814

Dear Ms. Ambrose:

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the finding from your Compliance Review conducted in February 2013. We concur with your finding that we were lacking job analyses for many of our department-specific classifications and it is our understanding this is a pervasive problem throughout state government.

The State Auditor (formerly the Bureau of State Audits – BSA) is currently under contract with Cooperative Personnel Services to complete job analyses for all department-specific classifications. We have recently updated the job analysis for the Auditor Evaluator I (BSA) classification and completed a new job analysis for the Principal Auditor (BSA) classification. We currently estimate that all of the remaining job analyses for department-specific classifications will be completed by the end of June 2014. In addition, we have instituted an internal policy requiring that a current job analysis be completed for all departmental examinations for statewide classifications.

Upon receipt of the State Personnel Board's (Board) Resolution adopting these findings, consistent with the Board's recommendations, we will abolish all of the examination lists. In addition, we are requesting the Board's approval to abolish an examination list for the Auditor Specialist I (Information Systems). My office conducted this examination subsequent to the compliance review that was performed in February 2013, but prior to our receipt of the results of that review. As you are aware, we received the results of the compliance review in February 2014 and the finding in the review indicates that prior to administering examinations we must create and develop examinations based upon a job analysis. We conducted the examination for the Auditor Specialist I position in January 2014 without having completed a job analysis. Because this examination list was created under the same conditions as those for the classification your staff reviewed back in February 2013, we believe we must abolish this examination list as well.

If you need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me or my Manager of Human Resources, Kathleen Spencer, at (916) 445-0255. Again, thank you for the opportunity to respond to your report.

Sincerely,

Elaine M. Howle

ELAINE M. HOWLE, CPA State Auditor

cc: Lori Gillihan, Chief, Policy and Compliance Review Division





801 Capitol Mall Sacramento, CA 95814 | www.spb.ca.gov

Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr.

#### BOARD RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE COMPLIANCE REVIEW REPORT AND FINDINGS BY THE SPB COMPLIANCE REVIEW UNIT OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE AUDITOR

WHEREAS, the State Personnel Board (SPB or Board) at its duly noticed meeting of April 1, 2014, carefully reviewed and considered the attached Compliance Review Report of the California State Auditor submitted by SPB's Compliance Review Unit.

WHEREAS, the Report was prepared following a baseline review of the California State Auditor's personnel practices. It details the background, scope, and methodology of the review, and the findings and recommendations.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** that the Board hereby adopts the Report, including all findings and recommendations contained therein. A true copy of the Report shall be attached to this Board Resolution and the adoption of the Board Resolution shall be reflected in the record of the meeting and the Board's minutes.

/s/ SUZANNE M. AMBROSE SUZANNE M. AMBROSE Executive Officer